BENJAMIN B. WAGNER 1 United States Attorney KIRK E. SHERRIFF 2 HENRY Z. CARBAJAL III Assistant U.S. Attorneys 3 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, California 93721 4 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099 5 Attorneys for the 6 United States of America 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 CASE NO.: 1:10-cr-00249 AWI UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11 MEMORANDUM OF PLEA AGREEMENT Plaintiff, PURSUANT TO RULE 11(c) OF THE 12 FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 13 v. 14 15 ERIC RAY HERNANDEZ, 16 Defendant. 17 18 Pursuant to Rule 11(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal 19

Pursuant to Rule II(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the United States of America, by and through Benjamin B. Wagner, the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of California, and Assistant United States Attorneys Kirk E. Sherriff and Henry Z. Carbajal III; and defendant ERIC RAY HERNANDEZ and his attorney, David Torres, have agreed as follows:

#### 1. Scope of Agreement

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This document contains the complete Memorandum of Plea Agreement ("Plea Agreement") between the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of California ("Government") and defendant

ERIC RAY HERNANDEZ regarding this case. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of California and cannot bind any other federal, state, or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authorities.

#### 2. Charges

Defendant ERIC RAY HERNANDEZ acknowledges that he has been charged in the Superseding Indictment with twenty-two counts, as follows:

COUNT ONE: Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud, Wire Fraud, and Bank Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349;

COUNTS TWO through NINETEEN: Mail Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341; and

COUNTS TWENTY,
TWENTY-TWO, and
TWENTY-THREE:

Money Laundering & Aiding and Abetting, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1957 and 2.

#### 3. Nature, Elements and Possible Defenses

The defendant has read the charges against him contained in the Superseding Indictment, and those charges have been fully explained to him by his attorney. Further, the defendant fully understands the nature and elements of the crimes in the Superseding Indictment to which he is pleading guilty, together with the possible defenses thereto, and has discussed them with his attorney.

27 /// 28 ///

1		
1	The elements of the crime of Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud,	
2		Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, are:
3		there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit mail fraud, wire fraud, and bank fraud; and
4		the defendant became a member of the conspiracy
5		knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it.
ľ		nts of the crime of Mail Fraud, in violation of 18
7		
8	U.S.C. § 1341,	
9	First,	the defendant knowingly participated in a scheme or plan to defraud, or a scheme or plan for obtaining
10		money or property by false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;
11	Second,	the statements made or facts omitted as part of the
12	,	scheme were material; that is, they had a natural tendency to influence, or were capable of influencing,
13		a person to part with money or property;
14	Third,	the defendant acted with the intent to defraud; that is, the intent to deceive or cheat; and
15	Fourth,	the defendant used, or caused to be used, the mails or
16 17	·	any private or commercial interstate carrier to carry out or attempt to carry out an essential part of the scheme.
18	The elements of the crime of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18	
19		
20	First,	the defendant knowingly participated in a scheme or
21	,	plan to defraud, or a scheme or plan for obtaining money or property by false or fraudulent pretenses,
22	Ì	representations, or promises;
23	Second,	the statements made or facts omitted as part of the scheme were material; that is, they had a natural
23		tendency to influence, or were capable of influencing, a person to part with money or property;
25	Third,	the defendant acted with the intent to defraud; that
26	1	is, the intent to deceive or cheat; and
26 27	Fourth,	the defendant transmitted, or caused to be transmitted, by wire, radio or television
		communication, in interstate or foreign commerce, certain signs, signals or sounds;
28		doronar ordinar or account

such transmission was in furtherance of the scheme to Fifth, 1 defraud or plan for obtaining money; and 2 The elements of the crime of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 3 U.S.C. § 1344, are: 4 the defendant knowingly carried out a scheme or plan First, 5 to obtain money or property from a financial institution by making false statements or promises; 6 the defendant knew that the statements or promises 7 Second, were false; 8 the statements or promises were material; that is, Third, they had a natural tendency to influence, or were capable of influencing, a financial institution to 9 part with money or property; 10 the defendant acted with the intent to defraud; and Fourth, 11 the financial institution was federally chartered or 12 Fifth, insured. 13 Agreements by the Defendant 4. 14 The defendant agrees that this Plea Agreement shall be 15 filed with the court and become a part of the record of the case. 16 The defendant agrees to enter a plea of guilty to Count One 17 of the Indictment, which charges the defendant with Conspiracy to 18 Commit Mail Fraud, Wire Fraud, and Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 19 U.S.C. § 1349. 20 The defendant understands and agrees that he will not be 21 allowed to withdraw his plea should the Court fail to follow the 22 Government's sentencing recommendations. 23 The Defendant further acknowledges that his plea of guilty 24 (d) is voluntary and that no force, threats, promises or representations 25 have been made to anybody, nor agreement reached, other than those 26 set forth expressly in this Plea Agreement, to induce the defendant 27 to plead quilty. 28

- (e) The defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives his Constitutional and statutory rights to appeal his plea, conviction and sentence. This waiver of appeal includes, but is not limited to, an express waiver of the defendant's right to appeal his plea, conviction, restitution imposed, forfeiture order and sentence on any ground, including any appeal right conferred by 18 U.S.C. § 3742, and the defendant further agrees not to contest his plea, conviction, restitution imposed, forfeiture order and sentence in any post-conviction proceeding, including but not limited to a proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 2255.
- (f) The defendant agrees that his base offense level for Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud, Wire Fraud, and Bank Fraud is seven (7) pursuant to Section 2B1.1(a)(1) of the 2012 United States Sentencing Commission Guidelines Manual ("Sentencing Guidelines"), plus eighteen (18) levels for loss more than \$2.5 million but less than \$7,000,000 (§ 2B1.1(b)(1)(J)), plus two (2) levels as the offense involved the use of sophisticated means (§ 2B1.1(b)(10)(C)), and plus four (4) levels as the defendant was an organizer and leader of the criminal conspiracy, which involved five or more participants and was otherwise extensive (§ 3B1.1(a)).
- (g) The defendant agrees not to move for any downward adjustments in his offense level under Chapters Two, Three, Four and/or Five of the United States Sentencing Guidelines, including without limitation, any downward departure and/or variance of his offense level, criminal history category or criminal history points as defined by the Sentencing Guidelines. The defendant also agrees not to move for a downward variance of his sentence under the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553. Provided, however: The defendant

23 <sup>1</sup> 

also retains the right to argue under the Sentencing Guidelines and/or under the factors at 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) for a sentence of not less than 87 months imprisonment. The defendant acknowledges that, if the defendant requests or suggests in any manner a sentence other than as set forth above, the Government, at its sole discretion, may withdraw from this plea agreement and continue with its prosecution of the defendant as if the parties had not entered into this Plea Agreement.

- (h) The defendant agrees that his conduct is governed by the Mandatory Restitution Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(1)(A)(ii), and agrees to pay the full amount of restitution as ordered by the court to all victims affected by this offense, including but not limited to the victims covered in the factual basis, victims covered in those counts to be dismissed as part of the plea agreement pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(a)(3), and other victims as a result of the defendant's conduct for the offenses charged from the periods of October 2005 through May 2007, in an amount determined by the court at sentencing.
- (i) The defendant agrees to make a full and complete disclosure of the defendant's assets and financial condition, and will complete the United States Attorney's Office's "Authorization to Release Information" and "Financial Affidavit" within five (5) weeks from the entry of the defendant's change of plea. The defendant also agrees to have the court enter an order to that effect. The defendant understands that this plea agreement is voidable by the government if he fails to complete and provide the described documentation to the United States Attorney's office within the allotted time.

The defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States 1 voluntarily and immediately all right, title, and interest to any and 2 all assets subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3 §§ 981(a)(1)(A), 981(a)(1)(C), 981(a)(1)(D), 982(a)(1), 982(a)(2); 28 4 U.S.C. § 2461, and Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(1). The defendant agrees 5 that this includes a personal forfeiture money judgment in the amount 6 of \$6,037,541. Further, the defendant agrees that this amount is a 7 reasonable reflection of the amount that he obtained directly or 8 indirectly as the result of the underlying criminal scheme and 9 conspiracy, and the violations as alleged in the Superseding 10 Indictment of Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud, Wire Fraud, and Bank 11 Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349; Mail Fraud, in violation of 12 18 U.S.C. 1341; and Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 13 § 1957. As part of the imposition of the personal forfeiture money 14 judgment, the defendant agrees to provide this Office with signed 15 waivers related to federal and state income tax returns, and a waiver 16 of the Right to Financial Privacy Act, which includes, but is not 17 limited to, any credit records, communication records, DMV records, 18 educational records, employment records, military records, business 19 records, and credit reports maintained by any consumer credit 20 reporting entity, until such time as the money judgment is satisfied. 21 In this regard, the defendant agrees to complete and sign a copy of 22 IRS Form 8821 (relating to the voluntary disclosure of federal tax 23 return information), whatever financial information disclosure form 24 which may be required by an agency, as well as this Office's Right to 25 Financial Privacy Act Waiver & Authorization to Release Information 26 27 form.

The defendant agrees to fully assist the government in the forfeiture of any seized assets or assets later determined to be forfeitable and to take whatever steps are necessary to pass clear title to the United States. The defendant shall not sell, transfer, convey, or otherwise dispose of any assets found to be connected to the criminal events charged in the Indictment.

The defendant agrees not to file a claim to any of the seized property in any criminal proceeding or civil proceeding, administrative or judicial, which is or may be initiated. The defendant agrees to waive right to notice of any forfeiture proceeding involving such property, and agrees to not file a claim or assist others in filing a claim in such a proceeding.

The defendant waives the notice provisions of Fed. R. Crim. P. 7(c) and 32.2(a), waives oral pronouncement of forfeiture at the time of sentencing and any defects in such pronouncement that pertain to forfeiture, and waives any defenses to forfeiture, including any defense predicated on the Ex Post Facto, Double Jeopardy, and Excessive Fines Clauses of the United States Constitution. The defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives any right to jury trial in any criminal or civil forfeiture proceeding.

(k) If the defendant's conviction on the count to which he is pleading is ever vacated at the defendant's request, or his sentence is ever reduced at his request, the government shall have the right to: (1) prosecute the defendant on any of the counts to which he pleaded guilty; (2) reinstate any counts that may be dismissed under this agreement; and (3) file any new charges that would otherwise be barred by this agreement. The decision to pursue any or all of these options is solely in the discretion of the United States Attorney's

Office. By signing this agreement, the defendant agrees to waive any objections, motions, and defenses he might have to the government's decision, including Double Jeopardy. In particular, he agrees not to raise any objections based on the passage of time with respect to such counts including, without limitation, any statutes of limitation or any objections based on the Speedy Trial Act or the Speedy Trial Clause of the Sixth Amendment.

If it is determined that the defendant has violated any provision of this Agreement or if the defendant successfully moves to withdraw his plea: (1) all statements made by the defendant to the government or other designated law enforcement agents, or any testimony given by the defendant before a grand jury or other tribunal, whether before or after his Agreement, shall be admissible in evidence in any criminal, civil, or administrative proceedings hereafter brought against the defendant; and (2) the defendant shall assert no claim under the United Sates Constitution, any statute, the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other federal rule, that statements made by the defendant before or after this Agreement, or any leads derived therefrom, should be suppressed. By signing this Agreement, the defendant waives any and all rights in the foregoing respects.

(1) The defendant understands that the Court must consult the Federal Sentencing Guidelines (as promulgated by the Sentencing Commission pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3551-3742 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 991-998, and as modified by <u>United States v. Booker and United States v. Fanfan</u>, 543 U.S. 220, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005)), and must take them into account when determining a final sentence. The defendant understands that the Court will

determine a non-binding and advisory guideline sentencing range for this case pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines. The defendant further understands that the Court will consider whether there is a basis for departure from the guideline sentencing range (either above or below the guideline sentencing range) because there exists an aggravating or mitigating circumstance of a kind, or to a degree, not adequately taken into consideration by the Sentencing Commission in formulating the Guidelines. The defendant further understands that the Court, after consultation and consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines, must impose a sentence that is reasonable in light of the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

- (m) Defendant acknowledges and understands that the plea offer made to him here by the United States is a "package offer," that is:
  - The defendant understands that the offer made to him is conditional on co-defendant Monica Marie Hernandez pleading guilty according to the terms of her respective plea offer. The defendant understands that if his co-defendant declines, refuses, or fails to plead guilty according to her respective offer, then, at the option of the United States, the defendant will not be allowed to enter a plea of guilty to the offer made to him by the United States. Moreover, if co-defendant Monica Marie Hernandez fails or refuses to enter her plea according to the terms of her respective plea offer, and the defendant has already entered his plea, then the United States, in its sole discretion, has the ability to withdraw from the Plea Agreement with the defendant and pursue the original

charges as to this defendant; and

- ii. Recognizing that this is a package offer, the defendant also confirms that he has not been threatened or coerced by any other person, including the co-defendant, and that the defendant enters into this Plea Agreement of his own volition.
- (n) The defendant agrees to waive all rights under the "Hyde Amendment," Pub. L. No. 105-109, \$ 617, 111 Stat. 2519 (1997), to recover attorneys' fees or other litigation expenses in connection with the investigation and prosecution of all charges in the above-captioned matter and of any related allegations (including without limitation any charges to be dismissed pursuant to this Plea Agreement and any charges previously dismissed).

# 5. Agreements by the Government

- (a) The Government will recommend a two-level reduction (if the offense level is less than 16) or a three-level reduction (if the offense level reaches 16) in the computation of the defendant's offense level if the defendant clearly demonstrates acceptance of responsibility for his conduct as defined in Section 3E1.1 of the Sentencing Guidelines.
- (b) The Government agrees that the defendant's base offense level for Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud, Wire Fraud, and Bank Fraud is seven (7) pursuant to Section 2B1.1(a)(1) of the Sentencing Guidelines, plus eighteen (18) levels for loss more than \$2.5 million but less than \$7 million (§ 2B1.1(b)(1)(J)), plus two (2) levels as the offense involved the use of sophisticated means (§ 2B1.1(b)(10)(C)), and plus four (4) levels as the defendant was an organizer and leader of the criminal conspiracy, which involved five

or more participants and was otherwise extensive (§ 3B1.1(a)).

- (c) The United States agrees that if the defendant's guideline range, after any adjustments, falls within Zone B, C, or D of the Sentencing Table, the Government will recommend that the defendant be sentenced to imprisonment within the applicable guideline range as determined by the Court.
- (d) The government agrees to dismiss the remaining counts of the Superseding Indictment as to this defendant at the time of sentencing, consisting of Counts Two through Twenty, Twenty-Two, and Twenty-Three.
- (e) The defendant acknowledges and understands that the government makes no other representations to him regarding sentencing, including regarding fines, the restitution owed, whether any other specific offense characteristics apply to his conduct under Chapter Two of the Sentencing Guidelines, whether additional enhancements or reductions apply under Chapter Three or Five of the Sentencing Guidelines, or his criminal history or criminal history points under Chapter Four of the Sentencing Guidelines, and the defendant understands that the government is free to comment and to make recommendations to the court and the probation office regarding those matters.

### 6. <u>Factual Basis</u>

The defendant will plead guilty because he is in fact guilty of the crime set forth at Count One in the Superseding Indictment. The defendant also agrees that the following are the facts of this case, although he acknowledges that, as to other facts, the parties may disagree:

Beginning in and about October 2005, and continuing thereafter to in and about May 2007, in the State and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Eastern District of California, defendant ERIC RAY HERNANDEZ and co-defendants Monica Marie Hernandez, Evelyn Brigget Sanchez, Patricia Ann King, and Darling Arlette Montalvo conspired to defraud mortgage lenders and federally chartered or insured lending/financial institutions (collectively referred to herein as "Lenders") of money and property, and caused money and property to be obtained from such Lenders, including but not limited to SunTrust Mortgage, Inc., Long Beach Mortgage Co., WMC Mortgage Corp., and Washington Mutual Bank ("Washington Mutual"), by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises. Washington Mutual was at all relevant times an insured depository institution, as defined at Title 18, United States Code, Section 20.

Defendant ERIC HERNANDEZ and co-defendants Monica Hernandez, Evelyn Sanchez, Patricia King, and Darling Montalvo conspired to carry out the scheme by the following manner and means:

Defendant ERIC HERNANDEZ and co-defendants Monica Hernandez, and Evelyn Sanchez, Patricia King, and Darling Montalvo knowingly conspired to cause materially false and fraudulent statements to be made in loan applications and related transaction documents that they submitted to Lenders on behalf of borrowers, thereby causing the Lenders to fund mortgage loans on the basis of materially false and misleading information. The defendants caused false loan applications and fraudulent supporting documentation to be submitted to Lenders to obtain loans for the purchase and/or refinancing of real properties for the benefit of the defendants.

For example, among other fraudulent property loan transactions, co-defendants Evelyn Sanchez and Darling Montalvo conspired with defendant ERIC HERNANDEZ and others to obtain loans in the name of co-defendants Evelyn Sanchez and Darling Montalvo, and others, based on false loan applications and fraudulent supporting documentation, including loans for the purchase and/or refinance of real properties located at 4401 Vern St., 3715 Kathy Suzanne Way, 5107 Fountain Grass Ave., 643 Sunset Meadow Ln., 12800 Reina Rd., 8111 Fighting Irish Dr., and 1501 Galina Ct. in Bakersfield, California, and 344 Sandpiper Ln., Oceano, In furtherance of the scheme to defraud, the California. defendants also obtained loans in the names of other buyers, based on false and fraudulent applications and documentation, on other properties including: 11310 Vauxhall Bridge Dr., 5208 Wailea Dr., 11917 Indianapolis Dr., 1478 Newport Ave., 3710 Kathy Suzanne Way, 3706 Kathy Suzanne Way, 4303 Walker Lake Dr., 12502 Reina Rd., 4500 Summer Side Ave., 11515 Privet Place, 3803 Mt. Celeste Ct., 14441 Kathleen Ave., 3807 Mt. Celeste Ct., 11418 Valley Forge Way, 2409 Trentino Ave., 8411 Rolling Bay Dr., 1808 Stillman Ave., 9413 Meadow Leaf Ct., 2201 Butterfield Ave.,

and 400 Taft Highway, in Bakersfield, California.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant ERIC HERNANDEZ was employed as a loan officer at Network Source Funding from approximately October 2005 through August 2006, and as a loan officer at New Millenium Lending from approximately August 2006 through May 2007. Co-defendant Evelyn Sanchez was employed as a loan processor at these entities during these same time periods. Co-defendant Darling Montalvo is the sister of co-defendant Evelyn Sanchez, and conspired with the other defendants to submit fraudulent loan applications to Lenders in this time period. Co-defendant Monica Hernandez is the spouse of defendant ERIC RAY HERNANDEZ, and worked with the other defendants to prepare and submit fraudulent loan applications to Lenders in this time period. These co-conspirators also knowingly obtained false documentation and fraudulent verifications from co-defendant Patricia King, a tax preparer, in support of false loan applications and in furtherance of the scheme to defraud.

The false and fraudulent statements that the defendants caused to be submitted to Lenders in the loan applications frequently included material misstatements concerning the borrower's income, assets, and employment, and false statements concerning the borrower's intent to reside in the properties as an owner-occupier, among other material misstatements and/or omissions. The defendants also fabricated false supporting documentation and caused such false supporting documentation to be submitted to Lenders in support of loan applications. The Lenders then distributed the mortgage loan funds to escrow companies or other companies, frequently by electronic wire transmission. In furtherance of the scheme to defraud, on the loan transactions the defendants caused mailings to be sent and delivered by the U.S. Postal Service and/or interstate commercial courier, and also caused interstate wires of funds and records to be made. The mailings in furtherance of the scheme to defraud typically included on each transaction, among other items, the county Recorder's Office's mailing through the U.S. Postal Service of the recorded Deeds of Trust, which notified the Lenders of the conveyance of the properties and the recording of the transactions.

At all relevant times herein, in carrying out these actions, defendant ERIC HERNANDEZ and co-defendants Monica Hernandez, Evelyn Sanchez, Patricia King, and Darling Montalvo acted with the intent to defraud. The defendants knowingly caused misstatements and/or omissions to be made in connection with the loan applications, and knew that the mortgage loans funded on the basis of such applications were obtained through materially false and fraudulent statements. In total, defendant ERIC HERNANDEZ and the codefendants caused the defrauded Lenders to incur losses of approximately \$6,037,541.

#### 7. Potential Sentence

The following is the maximum potential sentence which the defendant faces on Count 1:

(a) Imprisonment.

Maximum: Thirty (30) years.

(b) Fine.

Maximum: One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000.00).

- (c) Both such fine and imprisonment.
- (d) Restitution- Mandatory
- (e) Term of Supervised Release:

Maximum: Five (5) years.

(Should the defendant violate any of the terms of his supervised release, he can be returned to prison for the period of supervised release actually imposed by the Court or three (3) years, whichever is less.)

(f) Penalty Assessment.

Mandatory: One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00).

## 8. Waiver of Rights

The defendant understands that by pleading guilty he surrenders certain rights, including the following:

(a) The defendant is giving up his right to plead not guilty and to persist in that plea. If the defendant persisted in a plea of not guilty to the charges against him, he would have the right to be represented by an attorney at all stages of the proceedings, and would have a right to a public and speedy trial. The trial could be either a jury trial or a trial by a judge sitting without a jury. The defendant has a right to a jury trial. However, in order that the trial be conducted by the judge sitting without a jury, the defendant, the Government and the judge all must agree that the trial

 be conducted by the judge without a jury.

- of twelve lay persons selected at random. The defendant and his attorney would have a say in who the jurors would be, by removing prospective jurors for cause where actual bias or other disqualification is shown, or without cause by exercising peremptory challenges. The jury would have to agree unanimously before it could return a verdict of either guilty or not guilty. The jury would be instructed that the defendant is presumed innocent and that it could not convict him unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- (c) If the trial were held before a judge without a jury, the judge would find the facts and determine, after hearing all the evidence, whether or not he was persuaded of the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- (d) At a trial, whether by a jury or a judge, the Government would be required to present its witnesses and other evidence against the defendant. The defendant would be able to confront those Government witnesses and his attorney would be able to cross-examine them. In turn, the defendant could present witnesses and other evidence on his own behalf. If the witnesses for the defendant would not appear voluntarily, he could require their attendance through the subpoena power of the Court. At trial, the defendant would also have the right to assistance of legal counsel. If he could not afford legal counsel, one would be appointed for him by the court at no expense to him.
- (e) At a trial, the defendant would have a privilege against self-incrimination so that he could decline to testify,

2.0

and no inference of guilt could be drawn from this refusal to testify.

(f) If the defendant ever attempts to vacate his plea, dismiss the underlying charges, or reduce or set aside his sentence on any count(s) to which he is pleading guilty, the Government shall have the right (1) to prosecute defendant on any and all count(s) to which he pleaded guilty, and (2) to file any new charges that would otherwise be barred by this Plea Agreement. The decision to pursue any or all of these options is solely in the discretion of the Government. By signing this Plea Agreement, the defendant agrees to waive any objections, motions, and defenses he might have to the Government's decision. Defendant specifically agrees not to raise any objections based on the passage of time with respect to such counts including, but not limited to, any statutes of limitation or any objections based on the Speedy Trial Act or the Speedy Trial Clause of the Sixth Amendment.

The defendant understands that by pleading guilty he is waiving all of the rights set forth above, and acknowledges that his attorney has explained to him those rights and the consequences of his waiver of those rights.

## 9. Right to Counsel

The defendant understands that he has a right to counsel throughout his case from his initial appearance through his trial or guilty plea, or any dismissal of the case against him, and through and including any sentencing. If not for the waiver of appeal rights in this Plea Agreement, the defendant would also have a right to counsel on a direct appeal in his case. The defendant understands that the right to counsel includes the provision of defense counsel

through the Court if the defendant cannot afford to hire counsel.

10. Questions by Court

The defendant understands that if the court questions him under oath, on the record and in the presence of counsel, about the offense to which he has pleaded guilty, his answers, if false, may later be used against him in a prosecution for perjury.

# 11. Entire Plea Agreement

- (a) Other than this Plea Agreement, no agreement, understanding, promise, or condition exists between the United States and defendant. Nor will any such agreement, understanding, promise, or condition exist unless it is committed to writing and signed by the defendant, counsel for the defendant, and counsel for the Government.
- (b) This plea of guilty is freely and voluntarily made and is not the result of force or threats, or of any promises apart from those specifically set forth in this Plea Agreement. There have been no representations or promises from anyone as to what sentence the Court will impose.

## 12. Court not a Party

- (a) It is understood by the parties that the Sentencing Court is neither a party to nor bound by this agreement. Sentencing is a matter solely within the discretion of the Court. The Court is under no obligation to accept any recommendations made by the Government, and the Court may in its discretion impose any sentence it deems appropriate up to and including the statutory maximum set forth in Section 7 above.
- (b) In making its sentencing decision, the Court may take into consideration any and all facts and circumstances concerning the

defendant's criminal activities, including activities which may not have been charged in the Indictment. If the Court should impose any sentence up to the statutory maximum, the defendant understands that he cannot for that reason withdraw his guilty plea, and he will remain bound to fulfill all of the obligations under this Plea Agreement. The defendant understands that neither the prosecutor, defense counsel, nor the Court can make or have made any promise regarding the sentence the defendant will receive. 13. Presentence Report

The defendant understands that the United States Probation Office is not a party to this agreement and will conduct an independent investigation of the defendant's activities and his background. It will then prepare a presentence report which it will submit to the Court as its independent sentencing recommendation. In addition, the Government will fully apprise the Probation Office, as well as the Court, of the full and true nature, scope and extent of the defendant's criminal activities, including information on his background and criminal history.

Dated: 5/27/2013

Defendant

Dated: 27 MAY 2015

ney for Defendant ERIC RAY HERNANDEZ

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

Dated: 5/28/2013 BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney By: HENRY Z. CARBAJAL Assistant U.S. Attorneys 1,1 Memorandum of Plea Agreement